

1 BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

2 COMMISSIONERS

3 JEFF HATCH-MILLER, Chairman Arizona Corporation Commission
WILLIAM A. MUNDELL
4 MARC SPITZER
MIKE GLEASON
5 KRISTIN K. MAYES

DOCKETED

NOV 14 2005

6 DOCKETED BY

KW

7 IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF
SALT RIVER PROJECT AGRICULTURAL
8 IMPROVEMENT AND POWER DISTRICT ON
BEHALF OF ITSELF AND ARIZONA PUBLIC
9 SERVICE COMPANY, SANTA CRUZ WATER
AND POWER DISTRICTS ASSOCIATION,
10 SOUTHWEST TRANSMISSION
COOPERATIVE, INC. AND TUCSON
11 ELECTRIC POWER IN CONFORMANCE WITH
THE REQUIREMENTS OF ARIZONA REVISED
12 STATUTES SECTION 40-360, et. seq., FOR A
CERTIFICATE OF ENVIRONMENTAL
13 COMPATIBILITY AUTHORIZING
CONSTRUCTION OF THE PINAL WEST TO
14 SOUTHEAST VALLEY/BROWNING PROJECT
INCLUDING THE CONSTRUCTION OF
15 TRANSMISSION LINES FROM PINAL WEST
TO THE BROWNING SUBSTATION AND
16 OTHER INTERCONNECTION COMPONENTS
IN PINAL AND MARICOPA COUNTIES,
17 ARIZONA.

Docket No. L-00000B-04-0126-00000

Case No. 126

DECISION NO. 68291

**ORDER ON REHEARING OF
DECISION NO. 68093 WIDENING
CORRIDOR WIDTH**

18
19 Arizona Commission Decision No. 68093 entered on August 25, 2005 granted Salt
20 River Project Agricultural Improvement and Power District ("SRP") and the above-named applicants,
21 a Certificate of Environmental Compatibility ("CEC") to construct a transmission line beginning at
22 the Pinal West Substation and ending at the Browning Substation, along with related substations, as
23 more fully described in the CEC ("the Project"). After entry of Decision No. 68093, several parties
24 filed requests for rehearing and/or reconsideration for various reasons. The Commission granted
25 none of the requests for rehearing and/or reconsideration, except to grant rehearing for the purpose of
26 further consideration of the matter to expand the corridor width for a certain portion of the Project in
27 the Florence, Arizona area. At its September 28, 2005 Open Meeting, the Commission directed

1 parties in support of the expansion of the corridor width at the specific location in the Florence area,
2 to file briefs as to why Decision No. 68093 should be amended to expand the corridor width as
3 requested.

4 **After consideration of the briefs filed and the arguments presented, the Commission**
5 **finds and concludes as follows:**

6 In order to provide flexibility to plan for the future location of the transmission line and to
7 reduce the impact on future residents of the given area, the paragraph beginning at Page 2, Line 21 of
8 Decision No. 68093, is deleted. The deleted paragraph is hereby replaced with the following
9 paragraph to begin at Page 2, Line 21 of Decision No. 68093.

10 **The alignment turns north along Valley Farms Road within a 1,000 foot corridor**
11 **until it reaches the northwest corner of the 160-acre parcel of State Trust land in**
12 **Section 28, T 4 South, R 9E where it continues north within a corridor that extends**
13 **500 feet on the west side and ½ mile on the east side of the centerline of the**
14 **alignment until it intersects with the UPRR (N185-N175-N169-N81). The alignment**
15 **then continues northwest, parallel to the UPRR within a ½ mile corridor on each**
16 **side of the alignment centerline of the UPRR, until it converges with the Magma**
17 **Railroad at N45, within a 1,000 foot wide corridor, to the proposed SEV-SRP RS-22**
18 **substation (N44).**

19 Additionally, the following condition is hereby adopted as Decision No. 68093, Condition 31
20 to ensure that no party is negatively impacted within the expanded corridor.

21 **31. For the segment of the approved corridor lying between Node 81/UPRR on**
22 **the North and a point approximately 10,800 feet south along the north bank**
23 **of the Gila River, the approved corridor shall be 1,000 feet along the**
24 **centerline unless by November 1, 2006, Pulte Home Corporation, Vanguard**
25 **Properties, SRP, and the Town of Florence agree on a specific alignment**
26 **within the wider corridor approved by the Commission. The alignment shall**
27 **not interfere with the Applicants' proposed crossing of the Gila River.**

28 Additionally, the following condition is in the public interest and is hereby adopted as
Decision No. 68093, Condition 32.


32. All project-related costs that would not be incurred but for the expansion of
the certificated corridor width that is approved by this Decision shall be
borne by the parties who supported the expansion.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

The Commission further finds and concludes that the amendment of Decision No. 68093 as described herein is in the public interest in balancing the need for the Project with its impact on the environment and ecology of the state.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Decision No. 68093 is hereby amended on rehearing as provided herein.

ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION


CHAIRMAN


COMMISSIONER


COMMISSIONER


COMMISSIONER


COMMISSIONER

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I BRIAN C. MCNEIL, Executive Director of the Arizona Corporation Commission, have hereunto, set my hand and caused the official seal of this Commission to be affixed at the Capitol, in the City of Phoenix, this 14th day of November, 2005.


BRIAN C. MCNEIL
Executive Director

DISSENT: _____

DISSENT: _____